

Global Vaccine safety Essential Medicines & Health Products 20, Avenue Appia, Ch- 1211 Geneva 27

INFORMATION SHEET OBSERVED RATE OF VACCINE REACTIONS HUMAN PAPILLOMA VIRUS VACCINE

December 2017

Types of vaccines

Currently available HPV vaccines consist of recombinant viral vaccine proteins containing highly purified virus-like particles (VLP) which are the protein shells of the HPV virus (major capsid protein L1). The VLP contain no viral DNA. Thus, they cannot infect cells, reproduce or cause disease. The VLP for each virus genotype are purified and then adsorbed onto an adjuvant. The available vaccines differ in the number of HPV genotypes that they contain, the way that they are manufactured and the adjuvant that they contain. Both 2v-HPV and 4v-HPV vaccines are highly immunogenic and prevent primary infection with the HPV genotypes and prevent CIN 2/3 adenocarcinoma. Pre-licensure trials indicate a broadly similar safety profile for minor and severe adverse events for each of the vaccines. (See table 1)

Table 1

Name	Vaccine antigens	Excipients
Gardasil 4v	4v-HPV VLP from genotypes 6, 11, 16, 18	Produced in recombinant <i>S. cerevisiea</i> culture. Aluminum hydroxyphosphate, Polysorbate 80, sodium borate and L Histidine
Gardasil 9v	9v-HPV VLP from genotypes 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and 58	
Cervarix 2v	2v-HPV VLP from genotypes 16, 18	Produced in recombinant Baculovirus expression vector system. Aluminum hydroxide plus deacylated onophosphoryl I ipid A used as an adjuvant (ASO4)

Safety summary and information sheet

As at June 2017 it is estimated that over 270 million doses of the HPV vaccine have been distributed. Post-licensure surveillance data concerning the safety profiles for each of the HPV vaccine brands have detected no serious safety issues to date except rare reports of anaphylaxis. The safety of the HPV vaccine has been regularly reviewed by the Global Advisory Committee for Vaccine Safety (GACVS) who have not identified any safety concerns

http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/committ
ee/topics/hpv/en/

This HPV information sheet was adapted from the earlier version first published in June 2012 following a systematic literature review, conducted in December 2016, which included available evidence on the serious adverse events associated with HPV vaccines. A large body of randomised controlled trial evidence comprising 72,835 subjects provided data upon which the rates of serious adverse events were calculated. In addition, several large cohort studies provided evidence for specific health outcomes, predominantly autoimmune diseases. The specific methodology, articles' profiles and quality of evidence that compromise the systematic review can be accessed through <u>http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/HPV_vac</u> cination_safety_report_AHTA_dec17.pdf

Adverse events

Minor adverse events (See table 2)

Local adverse events

The 9v-HPV vaccine was well-tolerated, and most adverse events were injection siterelated pain, swelling, and erythema that were mild to moderate in intensity. The safety profiles were similar in 4v-HPV and 9v-HPV vaccinees. Among females aged 9 through 26 years, 9vHPV recipients had more injectionsite adverse events, including swelling (40.3% in the 9vHPV group compared with 29.1% in the 4v-HPV group) and erythema (34.0% in the 9vHPV group compared with 25.8% in the 4v-HPV group). Males had fewer injection site adverse events. In males aged 9 through 15 years, injection site swelling and erythema in 9vHPV recipients occurred in 26.9% and 24.9%, respectively. Rates of injection-site swelling and erythema both increased following each successive dose of 9vHPV. (See table 2)

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrh tml/mm6411a3.htm

Systemic adverse events

In clinical trials prior to licensure of the 4v-HPV vaccine, systemic adverse events were monitored for the first 15 days post vaccination. The only adverse event reported that occurred in greater than 1% of vaccines and occurred more frequently than placebo was pyrexia (10.1 versus 8.4% according to EMEA CHMP (2006), respectively).

A number of other systemic adverse events, of minor nature were reported, but these occurred with less than a 0.5% difference in the vaccinated group. Mild systemic adverse events possibly related to vaccination included headache, dizziness, myalgia, arthralgia, and gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting abdominal pain). In a direct comparison of the 2v-HPV and 4v-HPV vaccines, systemic reactions were reported at comparable rates, with the exception of fatigue [49.8% (95% CI: 45.5-54.2) vs. 39.8% (35.6-44.1)] and myalgia [27.6% (95% CI: 23.8-31.6) vs. 19.6% (16.3-23.3)], which were reported more frequently amongst recipients of the 2v-HPV vaccine. (See table 2)

Severe or serious adverse events – systematic review (See table 3)

This comprehensive systematic review containing a large body of high-level evidence is very consistent in finding no evidence of severe or serious adverse events associated with difference in the rate of Serious Adverse Events (SAE) between people who have received either the 2v-HPV or 4v-HPVvaccines and people who received a placebo or a control vaccine. Good quality cohort studies of specific autoimmune and other SAEs also found no relationship between exposure to HPV vaccination and development of these outcomes. The results of the analysis for the specific outcomes are summarised in the Summary of Findings Table using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/.

These outcomes included SAE, new onset chronic disease and medically significant conditions. A comparison of effects show that there is no absolute event rate difference for any of these conditions in those vaccinated with HPV vaccine (2v-HPV or 4v-HPV) and placebo (or those vaccinated with a control vaccine). For auto-immune disease, venous thromboembolism, multiple sclerosis and other demyelinating conditions safety data is available from high quality cohort studies which have not demonstrated differences in the rates of these conditions in those exposed or unexposed to the HPV vaccine. Rare or very rare adverse events (such as auto-immunity) can only be evaluated for safety using postlicensure surveillance studies because randomised controlled trials do not have adequate power to detect such events.

The current estimated rate of anaphylaxis is 1.7 cases per million doses. This is consistent with the rate of anaphylaxis following other vaccines. The rates for anaphylaxis for other vaccines given to children and adolescents range from 0 to 3.5 per million doses in international studies which have used different case definitions for anaphylaxis.

Other vaccine safety issues

Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome

(POTS), Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS), Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS). POTS, CRPS, and CFS are reported as adverse events following HPV immunization. These conditions most commonly occur independent of immunisation and their pathogenesis is poorly understood. Their causal association with immunization is not established. However, it is plausible that CRPS may occur in a limb that has been the site of an injected vaccine as other causes of local trauma may trigger this condition. CRPS has been described, in case reports, to present soon after immunisation. The association between POTS and CRPS has been reviewed by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) who have concluded that there is no causal association between these conditions and HPV immunization.

<u>Death</u> Deaths were reported in nearly every study, and the number of deaths was very low. No death was considered vaccine related in the Gardasil studies, and two of the Cervarix studies reported the causes of death without commenting their causality.

HPV vaccine in combination with other

vaccines. Assessment of concomitant use of the 4v-HPV vaccine and recombinant Hepatitis B vaccine showed no increase in adverse events. Concomitant use of the 2v-HPV vaccine with combined diphtheria-tetanusacellular pertussis-inactivated poliovirus vaccine to girls and young women was generally well tolerated.

<u>HPV vaccine in pregnancy</u>. In the absence of well-controlled studies in pregnant women, vaccination with HPV vaccine is not recommended in pregnancy as a precautionary measure. However, some data is available because pregnant women have been enrolled in phase III clinical trials with known pregnancy outcomes and through the establishment of pregnancy registers. In a combined analysis of pregnancy outcomes for women aged up to 45 years, the administration of 4v-HPV human papillomavirus vaccine to women who became pregnant during the phase III clinical trials did not appear to negatively affect pregnancy outcomes. A pooled analysis of two randomized controlled trials on the risk of miscarriage with 2v-HPV vaccine provided no evidence overall for an association between HPV vaccination and risk of miscarriage. Of 517 reports of pregnancies enrolled on a register, rates of spontaneous abortions and major birth defects were not greater than those in the unexposed population. An analysis of phase III trials and post-marketing data identifying reports of 90 pregnancies within 30 days of vaccination showed no increased risk of spontaneous abortion, fetal malformations, or adverse pregnancy outcomes in the general population.

Syncope in adolescent girls. Post-marketing surveillance has documented a number of cases of syncope in adolescent girls. Possibly the rate of syncope is higher when the HPV vaccine is delivered as part of a school programme and vaccine providers should have measures in place to prevent syncope and syncope-related injury from occurring.

The WHO vaccine reaction rates information sheets

WHO vaccine reaction rates information sheets are primarily designed for use by national public health officials and immunization programme managers but this information may interest others. These sheets can be used for causality assessment of Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI) because they describe vaccine product related reactions. Also this information may help in preparing communication materials. WHO has developed these rate sheets through a systematic process involving global vaccine safety and vaccine experts. For the reviews of serious adverse events, academics who specialize in systematic literature reviews and assessment of evidence quality using the GRADE process have been contracted. This material is then reviewed by GACVS (or a GACVS subcommittee) and also by the WHO Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals division. GACVS approves the material before review by the WHO Assistant Director General's office.

Publications of the WHO vaccine reaction rates information sheets can be found at <u>http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/initiative</u> /tools/vaccinfosheets/en/. Information sheets are periodically reviewed on a need based manner with newer vaccines being more frequently reviewed and updated than those established for many decades.

Details of minor and severe adverse reactions following immunization including the expected rates of vaccine reactions have been included when these are available in the published literature. Since published literature often does not distinguish between severe and serious AEFI, the terminologies used in this rate sheet have not considered them separately.

Table 2Summary of minor adverse events – local and systemic

Outcome	Description	Rate per 100 doses		
		9v-HPV	4v-HPV	2v-HPV
Local	Injection site reaction		83	
	Pain			78
	Swelling	26.9 - 40.3	25	26
	Erythema	24.9 - 34.0		30
	Severe - injection site erythema and/or swelling > 2 inches in size and pain severe		5.7	
Systemic	Fatigue			33
	Pyrexia		13	3
	Urticaria		3	28
	Headache		26	30
	Myalgia		2	28
	Arthalgia		1	10
	Gastrointestinal disorders		17	13
	Rash			1
	Urticaria			0.46 100

Table 3

GRADE Summary of Findings Table (grading of quality of scientific evidence) for severe adverse events following HPV vaccines*

Participants: Males and females of any ageSettings: WorldwideComparison: Gardasil or Cervarix vs placebo or control vaccine

Outcome	Data size and source	Compar	ison of effects*	Size of effect	Certainty of the evidence
		Vaccine	Control		(GRADE)
Serious adverse events (1 month – 9yrs follow- up)	Gardasil versus placebo: Based on data from 28,671 subjects in 7 randomised controlled trials Gardasil versus control vaccine: Based on data from 3,810 subjects in 1 randomised controlled trial	Vaccine 858.2 / 100,000 Absolute event rate of Rate per 100,000 (%, -77.6 (0.08%, 95%Cl - Relative difference: RR 0.93 (95% Cl 0.17. 733.8 / 100,000 Absolute event rate of Rate per 100,000 (%, -107.4 (0.11%, 95%Cl Relative difference: RR 0.87 (95% Cl 0.43,	935.8 / 100,000 difference: 95%Cl) 0.2%, 0.3%) 22,1) 841.2 / 100,000 difference: 95%Cl) -0.5%, 0.7%)	No Difference No Difference	
	Cervarix versus placebo: Based on data from 14,268 subjects in 10 randomised controlled trials Cervarix versus control: Based on data from 30,843 subjects in 8 randomised controlled trials	1836.6 / 100,000 1876.2 / 100,000 Absolute event rate difference: Rate per 100,000 (%, 95%Cl) -39.6 (0.04%, 95%Cl -0.4%, 0.5%) Relative difference: RR 0.91 (95% Cl 0.68, 1.22) 11,676.8/ 100,000 11,595.7 / 100,000 Absolute event rate difference: Rate per 100,000 (%, 95%Cl) 81.1 (0.1%, 95%Cl -0.8%, 1.0%) Relative difference:		No Difference No Difference	ФФФФ нідн ФФФФ нідн
New onset chronic disease	Cervarix versus placebo: Based on data from 9,511 subjects in 9 randomised controlled trials	RR 1.01 (95% Cl 0.95, 1240.1 / 100,000 Absolute event rate of Rate per 100,000 (%, -66.5 (0.07%, 95%Cl - Relative difference: RR 0.83 (95% Cl 0.58,	1306.6 / 100,000 difference: 95%Cl) 0.4%, 0.5%)	No Difference	ФФФФ нідн
(1 month – 9 yrs)	Cervarix versus control: Based on data from 30,349 subject in 7 randomised controlled trials	4680.8 / 100,000 5079.9 / 100,000 Absolute event rate difference: Rate per 100,000 (%, 95%Cl) -399.1 (0.4%, 95%Cl -0.9%, 0.9%) Relative difference: RR 0.93 (95% Cl 0.84, 1.03)		No Difference	ФФФФ нібн

Outcome	Data size and source	Comparison of effects*		Size of effect	Certainty of the evidence
		Vaccine	Control		(GRADE)
Medically significant	Cervarix versus placebo: Based on data from 7,623 subjects in 6 RCTs	8201.4 / 100,000	6949.6 / 100,000	No Difference	ФФФФ нідн
conditions (1		Absolute event rate of	difference:	-	
month – 9		Rate per 100,000 (%,			
yrs)		1251.8 (1.25%, 95%C	-		
		Relative difference:			
		RR 1.15 (95% Cl 0.88,	1.50)		
		20.272.0 (400.000			
	Cervarix versus	29,372.9 / 100,000	30,069.4 / 100,000	No	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$
	control: Based on data from	Absolute event rate o		Difference	HIGH
	28,498 subjects in 4	Rate per 100,000 (%, 95%Cl) -696.5 (0.7%, 95%Cl -0.4%, 1.8%)			поп
	RCTs	Relative difference:	0.4%, 1.8%)		
	iller 5	RR 0.98 (95% Cl 0.92,	1 05)		
Auto-	Data from 4 high	No difference in rates of most autoimmune		No	⊕⊕⊕2
immune	quality cohort	diseases between those exposed to vaccine and		Difference	WWW -
diseases	studies	those unexposed.	-		MODERATE
following		No findings equated t	o a safety signal.		
HPV					
vacci-nation					
Venous	Data from 2 high	No difference in the rate of thromboembolism in		No	⊕⊕⊕2
Thrombo-	quality cohort	those exposed to vaccine and those unexposed.		difference	
embolism	studies				MODERATE
Multiple	Data from 1 high	Exposed MS	Unexposed	No Difference	⊕⊕⊕₂
sclerosis and	quality cohort study	6.12 / 100,000	21.54 / 100,000		
other		person years	person years	1	MODERATE
demyeli-		IRR 0.90 (95%Cl 0.70, 1.15)		1	
nating		Other:			
conditions		7.54 / 100,000	16.14 / 100,000		
		person years person years		4	
		IRR 1.00 (95%Cl 0.80,	IRR 1.00 (95%Cl 0.80, 1.26)		

*Systematic review of serious adverse events associated with HPV vaccination -

http://www.who.int/vaccine safety/HPV vaccination safety report AHTA dec17.pdf

References

ADRAC Bulletin (2011)

http://portal.bpfk.gov.my/aeimages/File/MA DRAC_BULLETIN_APRIL_2011.pdf.

Angelo MG, David MP, Zima J, Baril L, Dubin G, Arellano F, et al. Pooled analysis of large and long-term safety data from the human papillomavirus-16/18-AS04-adjuvanted vaccine clinical trial programme. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2014;23(5):466-79.

Arnheim-Dahlström L, Pasternak B, Svanström H, Sparén P, Hviid A. Autoimmune, neurological, and venous thromboembolic adverse events after immunisation of adolescent girls with 4v-HPV human papillomavirus vaccine in Denmark and Sweden: Cohort study. BMJ (Online). 2013;347(f5906).

Bernard DM, Cooper Robbins SC, McCaughey KJ, Scott CM, Skinner SR (2011). The domino effect: adolescent girls' response to human papillomavirus vaccination. Med J Aust.; Mar 21;194(6):297-300.

Bhatla N, Suri V, Basu P, Shastri S, Datta SK, Bi D, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine in healthy Indian women. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research. 2010;36(1):123-32.

Bohlke K, Davis RL, Marcy SM. Braun MM et al. (2003). Risk of anaphylaxis after vaccination of children and adolescents. Pediatrics;112: 815-820.

Castellsagué X, Mũoz N, Pitisuttithum P, Ferris D, Monsonego J, Ault K, et al. End-of-study safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of 4v-HPV HPV (types 6, 11, 16, 18) recombinant vaccine in adult women 24-45 years of age. British Journal of Cancer. 2011;105(1):28-37. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2008). Syncope after vaccination---United States, January 2005-July 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. May 2;57(17):457-60.Dana A, Buchanan KM, Goss MA, Seminack MM, Shields KE, Korn S, Cunningham ML, Haupt RM. Pregnancy outcomes from the pregnancy registry of a human papillomavirus type 6/11/16/18 vaccine. Obstet Gynecol. 2009 Dec;114(6):1170-8.

Commission on Human Medicines (2010). http://www.mhra.gov.uk/home/groups/plp/documents/websiteresources/con096797.p df (las visited: Sep 2010).

Dana A; Buchanan KM et al. (2010). Pregnancy Outcomes From the Pregnancy Registry of a Human Papillomavirus Type 6/11/16/18 Vaccine. Obstetrical & Gynecological Survey: March - Volume 65 - Issue 3 - pp 169-170

Descamps D, Hardt K, Spiessens B, et al. (2009). Safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine for cervical cancer prevention: a pooled analysis of 11 clinical trials. Hum Vaccin;5:332--40.

Einstein MH, Baron M, Levin MJ, Chatterjee A, Edwards RP, et al. for the HPV-010 Study Group (2009). Comparison of the immunogenicity and safety of CervarixTM and Gardasil[®] human papillomavirus (HPV) cervical cancer vaccines in healthy women aged 18-45 years. Human Vaccines. October; 5(10): 705-19.

Einstein MH, Baron M, Levin MJ, Chatterjee A, Fox B, Scholar S, Rosen J, Chakhtoura N, Meric D, Dessy FJ, Datta SK, Descamps D, Dubin G (2011). Comparative immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 vaccine and HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine: Followup from Months 12-24 in a Phase III randomized study of healthy women aged 18-45 years. Hum Vaccin. Dec; 7(12):1343-58. Epub 2011 Dec 1. EMA CHMP (2006). European Public Assessment Report. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/doc ument_library/EPAR_-_Scientific_Discussion/human/000703/WC500 021140.pdf, p. 29 ff.

EMA confirms evidence does not support that they cause CRPS or POTS available at http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?c url=pages/medicines/human/referrals/Human _papillomavirus_vaccines/human_referral_pr ac_000053.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac05805c516f

EMEA CHMP (2007). European Public Assessment Report.

http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/doc ument_library/EPAR_-

_Scientific_Discussion/human/000721/WC500 024636.pdf.

Forinash AB, Yancey AM, Pitlick JM, Myles TD (2011). Safety of the HPV 2v-HPV and 4v-HPV Vaccines During Pregnancy (February). Ann Pharmacother. 2011 Feb 1. [Epub ahead of print].

Garcia-Sicilia J, Schwarz TF, Carmona A et al. (2010). Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine coadministered with combined diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-inactivated poliovirus vaccine to girls and young women. J Adolesc Health., 46(2):142-51.

Garland SM, Ault KA, Gall SA, et al. (2009). Pregnancy and infant outcomes in the clinical trials of a human papillomavirus type 6/11/16/18 vaccine: a combined analysis of five randomized controlled trials. Obstet Gynecol;114:1179–88.

Garland SM, Hernandez-Avila M, Wheeler CM, Perez G, Harper DM, Leodolter S, et al. 4v-HPV vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent anogenital diseases. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(19):1928-43. Gasparini R, Bonanni P, Levi M et al. (2011). Safety and tolerability of 2v-HPV HPV vaccine: an Italian post-licensure study. Hum Vaccin. 2011 Jan-Feb;7 Suppl:136-46. Epub.

Gee J, Naleway A, Shui I et al. (2011). Monitoring the safety of 4v-HPV human papillomavirus vaccine: findings from the Vaccine Safety Datalink. Vaccine. 2011 Oct 26;29(46):8279-84. Epub.

Giuliano AR, Palefsky JM, Goldstone S, Moreira ED, Jr., Penny ME, Aranda C, et al. Efficacy of 4v-HPV HPV vaccine against HPV Infection and disease in males. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(5):401-11.

Guyatt G, Oxman A, Santesso N, Helfand M, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Norris S, Meerpohl J, Djulbegovic B, Alonso-Coello P, Post P, Bussse J, Glasziou P, Christensen R, Schunemann H. (2013a) GRADE guidelines: 12. Preparing Summary of Findings tables- binary outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 66: 158-172

Guyatt G, Thorlund K, Oxman A, Walter S, Patrick D, Furukawa T, Johnston B, Karanicolas P, Akl E, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Norris S, Kupper L, Marting S, Meerpohl J, Alonso-Coello P, Christensen R, Schunemann H. (2013b) GRADE guidelines: 13. Preparing Summary of Findings tables and evidence profiles- continuous outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 66: 173-183

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.handbook.cochrane.org.

Hildesheim A, Wacholder S, Catteau G, Struyf F, Dubin G, Herrero R, et al. Efficacy of the HPV-16/18 vaccine: Final according to protocol results from the blinded phase of the randomized Costa Rica HPV-16/18 vaccine trial. Vaccine. 2014;32(39):5087-97. HPV Gardasil and GBS (2008). http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpdvac/hpv/downloads/hpv-gardasil-gbs.pdf (Accessed 10/1/2008).

Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2011). Adverse Effects of Vaccines: Evidence and Causality. The National Academies Press.

Kang S, Kim KH, Kim JH, Kim YT, Kim YT, Song YS, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a vaccine targeting human papillomavirus types 6, 11, 16 and 18: a randomized, placebocontrolled trial in 176 Korean subjects. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2008;18(5):1013-9.

Kim SC, Song YS, Kim YT, Kim YT, Ryu KS, Gunapalaiah B, et al. Human papillomavirus 16/18 AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine: Immunogenicity and safety in 15-25 years old healthy Korean women. Journal of Gynecologic Oncology. 2011;22(2):67-75.

Kim YJ, Kim KT, Kim JH, Cha SD, Kim JW, Bae DS, et al. Vaccination with a human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine in Korean girls aged 10-14 years. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2010;25(8):1197-204.

Konno R, Yoshikawa H, Okutani M, Quint W, Suryakiran PV, Lin L, et al. Efficacy of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine against cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical infection in young Japanese women: Open follow-up of a randomized clinical trial up to 4 years postvaccination. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics. 2014;10(7):1781-94.

Lehtinen M, Paavonen J, Wheeler CM, Jaisamrarn U, Garland SM, Castellsagué X, et al. Overall efficacy of HPV-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine against grade 3 or greater cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: 4-year endof-study analysis of the randomised, doubleblind PATRICIA trial. The Lancet Oncology. 2012;13(1):89-99. Li R, Li Y, Radley D, Liu Y, Huang T, Sings HL, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a vaccine targeting human papillomavirus types 6, 11, 16 and 18: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in Chinese males and females. Vaccine. 2012;30(28):4284-91.

Lim BK, Ng KY, Omar J, Omar SZ, Gunapalaiah B, Teoh YL, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the AS04-adjuvanted human papillomavirus-16/18 cervical cancer vaccine in malaysian women aged 18-35 years: A randomized controlled trial. Medical Journal of Malaysia. 2014;69(1):2-8.

Lu B, Kumar A, Castellsague X, Giuliano AR. Efficacy and safety of prophylactic vaccines against cervical HPV infection and diseases among women: a systematic review & metaanalysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2011;11:13.

Medina DM, Valencia A, de Velasquez A, Huang LM, Prymula R, Garcia-Sicilia J, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: a randomized, controlled trial in adolescent girls. J Adolesc Health. 2010;46(5):414-21.

Naud PS, Roteli-Martins CM, De Carvalho NS, Teixeira JC, De Borba PC, Sanchez N, et al. Sustained efficacy, immunogenicity, and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: Final analysis of a long-term followup study up to 9.4 years post-vaccination. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics. 2014;10(8):2147-62.

Ngan HY, Cheung AN, Tam KF, Chan KK, Tang HW, Bi D, et al. Human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted cervical cancer vaccine: immunogenicity and safety in healthy Chinese women from Hong Kong. Hong Kong Med J. 2010;16(3):171-9. Paavonen J, Jenkins D, Bosch FX, Naud P et al. (2007). HPV PATRICIA study group. Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted 2v-HPV L1 viruslike-particle vaccine against infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet; 369(9580):2161-70.

Pedersen C, Breindahl M, Aggarwal N, Berglund J, Oroszlán G, Silfverdal SA, et al. Randomized trial: Immunogenicity and safety of coadministered human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine and combined hepatitis A and B vaccine in girls. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2012;50(1):38-46.

Pedersen C, Petaja T, Strauss G, Rumke HC, Poder A, Richardus JH, Spiessens B, Descamps D, Hardt K, Lehtinen M, Dubin G (2007). HPV Vaccine Adolescent Study Investigators Network Immunization of early adolescent females with human papillomavirus type 16 and 18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine containing AS04 adjuvant. J Adolesc Health; 40(6):564-71.

Petaja T, Keranen H, Karppa T, Kawa A, Lantela S, Siitari-Mattila M, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in healthy boys aged 10-18 years. J Adolesc Health. 2009;44(1):33-40.

Plotkin S, Orenstein W, Offit P., eds. (2008). Vaccines. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders/Elsevier.

Reisinger KS, Block SL, Lazcano-Ponce E, Samakoses R, Esser MT, Erick J, et al. Safety and persistent immunogenicity of a 4v-HPV human papillomavirus types 6, 11, 16, 18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine in preadolescents and adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007;26(3):201-9. Romanowski B, de Borba PC, Naud PS, Roteli-Martins CM, De Carvalho NS, Teixeira JC, et al. Sustained efficacy and immunogenicity of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine: analysis of a randomised placebo-controlled trial up to 6.4 years. Lancet. 2009;374(9706):1975-85.

Roteli-Martins CM, Naud P, De Borba P, Teixeira JC, De Carvalho NS, Zahaf T, et al. Sustained immunogenicity and efficacy of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: Up to 8.4 years of follow-up. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics. 2012;8(3):381-8.

Rüggeberg J, Gold M, Bayas MJ et al. (2007). The Brighton Collaboration Anaphylaxis Working Group. Anaphylaxis: Case definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine; 25: 5675–5684.

Schmeink CE, Bekkers RL, Josefsson A, Richardus JH, Berndtsson Blom K, David MP, et al. Co-administration of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine with hepatitis B vaccine: randomized study in healthy girls. Vaccine. 2011;29(49):9276-83.

Schurink-Van't Klooster TM, de Ridder MA, Kemmeren JM, van der Lei J, Dekker F, Sturkenboom M, et al. Examining a possible association between human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccination and migraine: results of a cohort study in the Netherlands. Eur J Pediatr. 2015;174(5):641-9.

Scheller NM, Svanstrom H, Pasternak B, Arnheim-Dahlstrom L, Sundstrom K, Fink K, et al. 4v-HPV HPV vaccination and risk of multiple sclerosis and other demyelinating diseases of the central nervous system. JAMA. 2015;313(1):54-61 Sow PS, Watson-Jones D, Kiviat N, Changalucha J, Mbaye KD, Brown J, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: A randomized trial in 10-25-year-old HIV-seronegative African girls and young women. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2013;207(11):1753-63.

Therapeutic Goods Administration (2008). Advice from the Therapeutic Goods Administration. HPV (Gardasil) http://www.tga.gov.au/alerts/medicines/gard asil.htm (Accessed 10/1/2008).

Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, Lawson HW, Chesson H, Unger ER (2007). Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). 4v-HPV Human Papillomavirus Vaccine. http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrht ml/rr5602a1.htm (visited 13 April 2012).

Reisinger KS, Block SL, Collins-Ogle M et al. (2010). Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of gardasil given concomitantly with Menactra and Adacel. Pediatrics;125(6):1142-51. Epub 2010 May 3.

Shea BJ, GJ, Wells GA, Boers M, Andersson N, Hamel C, Porter AC, Tugwell P, Moher D, Bouter LM, 2007, 'Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. ', BMC Med Res Methodol, vol. Feb 17; 7:10.

Slade BA, Leidel L, Vellozzi C, et al. (2009). Postlicensure safety surveillance for 4v-HPV human papillomavirus recombinant vaccine. JAMA; 302(7):750-7.

Therapeutic Goods Administration. (2010). http://www.tga.gov.au/safety/alertsmedicine-gardasil-070624.htm (last accessed June 2010).

VAERS (2011)

http://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/vaccines/h pv/gardasil.html (last accessed 2011).

Van Klooster TM, Kemmeren JM, van der Maas NA, de Melker HE (2011). Reported adverse events in girls aged 13-16 years after vaccination with the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 vaccine in the Netherlands. Vaccine. 2011 Jun 20;29(28):4601-7. Epub.

Villa LL, Perez G, Kjaer SK, Paavonen J, Lehtinen M, Muñoz N, et al. 4v-HPV vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent high-grade cervical lesions. New England Journal of Medicine. 2007;356(19):1915-27.

Viswanathan M, Berkman ND, Dryden DM, Hartling L. Assessing Risk of Bias and Confounding in Observational Studies of Interventions or Exposures: Further Development of the RTI Item Bank. Methods Research Report. (Prepared by RTI–UNC Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2007-10056-I). AHRQ Publication No. 13-EHC106-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; August 2013. www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reports/fi

nal.cfm.

Wacholder S, Chen BE, Wilcox A et al. (2010). Risk of miscarriage with 2v-HPV vaccine against human papillomavirus (HPV) types 16 and 18: pooled analysis of two randomized controlled trials. BMJ;340:c712. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c712.

Wheeler CM, Skinner SR, Del Rosario-Raymundo MR, Garland SM, Chatterjee A, Lazcano-Ponce E, et al. Efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of the human papillomavirus 16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in women older than 25 years: 7-year follow-up of the phase 3, double-blind, randomised controlled VIVIANE study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2016;16(10):1154-68.

Willame C, Rosillon D, Zima J, Angelo MG, Stuurman AL, Vroling H, et al. Risk of new onset autoimmune disease in 9- to 25-yearold women exposed to human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in the United Kingdom. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics. 2016;12(11):2862-71. World Health Organisation. Guidance for the development of evidence-based vaccine-related recommendations. 2015.

Yoshikawa H, Ebihara K, Tanaka Y, Noda K. Efficacy of 4v-HPV human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16 and 18) vaccine (GARDASIL) in Japanese women aged 18-26 years. Cancer science [Internet]. 2013; 104(4):[465-72 pp.]. Available from:

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clc entral/articles/340/CN-00908340/frame.html Zhu FC, Chen W, Hu YM, Hong Y, Li J, Zhang X, et al. Efficacy, immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in healthy Chinese women aged 18'25 years: Results from a randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Cancer. 2014;135(11):2612-22.

This information sheet has been developed in close collaboration with the Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (GACVS). GACVS experts are independent and have declared no interests related to the expertise displayed in this product. An independent health technology agency (Adelaide Health Technology Assessment, University of Adelaide, Australia) conducted the systematic review. The review entailed identifying relevant literature, assessing its quality, pooling the results and judging the certainty of the evidence using GRADE. The literature search was conducted in December 2016. The information sheets will be updated as new information may become available at the following web link: http://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/vaccrates/en/index.html



World Health Organization Department of Essential Medicines and Health Products Safety and Vigilance Global Vaccine Safety Email: vaccsafety@who.int